

Materials Technologies

<u>Joseph A. Carpenter, Jr.</u>, U.S. Department of Energy Edward Daniels, Argonne National Laboratory Philip Sklad and C. David Warren, Oak Ridge National Laboratory Mark Smith, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory

> TMS Light Metals Division Luncheon Walt Disney World Orlando, Florida USA

> > February 28, 2007

(In remembrance of Dr. Sidney Diamond of USDOE)



Outline

Materials Technologies

- The FreedomCAR and Fuels Initiative
 - History
 - Goals
- FreedomCAR-supported Body and Chassis Lightweighting Materials Thrusts
- Summary and Thoughts

Based upon paper in *Proceedings of the International Auto Body Conference*, Novi, Michigan USA, September 19, 2006



The Challenges Facing Us...

Materials Technologies





Can We Sustain Increasing Consumption?

Materials Technologies

Annual World Oil Production (Billions of Barrels) **Projected Growth in** 1930 1935 1940 1950 1960 2000 2010 2020 2030 2040 2050 **Light-Duty Vehicle Registrations Estimates of Remaining Oil Reserves** 3.5 **Billions of Vehicles** Remaining Oil Reserves (Percent) 2.5 Industrialized Nations World 1.5 0.5 D



Global Growth in Transportation Is Accelerating the Demand for Oil



China, with 13 vehicles per 1000 people, is where the U.S. was in 1913



World Fossil Fuel Potential

Materials Technologies



Source: H. H. Rogner, "An Assessment of World Hydrocarbon Resources," Annual Review of Energy and Environment, 1997.









Oil and Substitute Costs





Renewable Resources are Adequate to Meet all Energy Needs

Materials Technologies





USA Transportation Petroleum Use by Mode (1970-2025) 2003 Total = 13.42 mbpd



Note: Domestic production includes crude oil, natural gas plant liquids, refinery gain, and other inputs. This is consistent with EIA, MER, Table 3.2. Previous versions of this chart included crude oil and natural gas plant liquids only. Source: <u>Transportation Energy Data Book: Edition 24</u>, ORNL-6973, and <u>EIA Annual Energy Outlook 2005</u>, Preliminary release, December 2004.



Light-Duty Vehicle Trends

Weight and Performance by Model Year

(Three Year Moving Average)

Adjusted Fuel Economy by Model Year (Three-Year Moving Average)



Source: Light Duty Automotive Technology and Fuel Economy Trends: 1975 through 2004, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, April 2004.



HISTORY

Materials Technologies

- 1970 (to present) In response to environmental movements of the 1960's, the Clean Air Acts established standards for criteria emissions (carbon monoxide, hydrocarbons, nitrogen and sulfur oxides, and particulates) from transportation vehicles and other sources.
- 1975 to 1986 (and to present) Energy Policy and Conservation Act of 1975 established Corporate Average Fuel Economy (CAFÉ) standards for light-duty vehicles.
- 1993-2002 The Partnership for a New Generation of Vehicles (PNGV) between eight US government agencies and "Big Three" automakers, indicated that high-fuel efficiency (33 km/l) family autos are probably technically viable at a slight cost premium (15%?) through use of alternate power plants (mainly diesel-electric hybrids), advanced design and lightweighting, probably spurred automotive technology worldwide, and provided model for government-industry cooperation.



Materials Technologies

- 2002 -- PNGV transitioned by President Bush to FreedomCAR with more emphases on fuel-cell vehicles, all varieties of light-duty vehicles ("CAR" stands for Cooperative Automotive Research, not "car") and limited to USCAR and DOE.
- 2002-2007 President Bush rejects Kyoto Treaty but pledges large research, development, demonstration and deployment (RDD&D) efforts to provide technological solutions to climate change (e.g., U.S. Climate Change Strategy, 2/14/07)
- 2003 FreedomCAR expanded to include the Hydrogen Fuels Initiative, becomes FreedomCAR and Fuels Partnership, to **explore** technologies for producing and delivering hydrogen for transportation and other uses (the "hydrogen economy"). Energy-supply industry joins. International Partnership for the Hydrogen Economy formed.



Timeline





- Develop technologies to enable mass production of affordable hydrogen-powered fuel cell vehicles and assure the hydrogen infrastructure to support them
- Continue support for hybrid propulsion, advanced materials, and other technologies that can dramatically reduce oil consumption and environmental impacts in the nearer term
- □ Instead of single vehicle goals, develop technologies applicable across a wide range of passenger vehicles.



Effect of Automotive Lightweighting

Transportation Materials

• 6-8% (with mass compounding) increase in fuel economy for every 10% drop in weight, everything else the same

or

• Offset the increased weight and cost per unit of power of alternative powertrains (hybrids, fuel cells) with respect to conventional powertrains (*Alice in Wonderland* syndrome)





- Potentially higher prices of fuel.
- The hydrogen-fueled fuel-cell vehicle.
- Increasing "customer value" while staying within Corporate Average Fuel Economy (CAFÉ) limits



Barriers

Materials Technologies

- Historically low prices of fuel.
- Higher costs of lightweighting materials.
- Lack of familiarity with them.
- Preferences for large vehicles
- Perceptions of safety
- Recycling (plastics)



FreedomCAR Technology Specific Goals

Materialo Technologio

				тисти	S Technolog	çies
	Efficiency	Power	Energy	Cost*	Life	Weight
Fuel Cell System	60% (hydrogen) 45% (w/ reformer)	325 W/kg 220 W/L		\$45/kW (2010) \$30kW (2015)		
Hydrogen Fuel/ Storage/ Infrastructure	70% well to pump		2 kW-h/kg 1.1 kW-h/L	\$5/kW-h \$1.25/gal (gas equiv.)		
Electric Propulsion		≥55 kW 18 s 30 kW cont.		\$12/kW peak	15 years	
Electric Energy Storage		25 kW 18 s	300 W-h	\$20/kW	15 years	
Materials				Same	Same	50% less
Engine Powertrain System**	45% peak			\$30/kW	15 years	

- * Cost references based on CY2001 dollar values
- ** Meets or exceeds emissions standards.





Weight Savings and Costs for Automotive Lightweighting Materials

Materiais Technologies				
Lightweight Material	Material Replaced	Mass Reduction (%)	<i>Relative Cost</i> (per part)*	
High Strength Steel	Mild Steel	10 (25?)	1 ()</td	
Aluminum (AI)	Steel, Cast Iron	40 - 60	1.3 - 2	
Magnesium	Steel or Cast Iron	60 - 75	1.5 - 2.5	
Magnesium	Aluminum	25 - 35	1 - 1.5	
Glass FRP Composites	Steel	25 - 35	1 - 1.5	
Carbon FRP Composites	Steel	50 - 60	2 - 10+	
Al Matrix Composites	Steel or Cast Iron	50 - 65	1.5 - 3+	
Titanium	Alloy Steel	40 - 55	1.5 - 10+	
Stainless Steel	Carbon Steel	20 - 45	1.2 - 1.7	

•Includes both materials and manufacturing.

Ref: William F. Powers, <u>Advanced Materials and Processes</u>, May 2000, pages 38 – 41.



Automotive Lightweighting Materials

Transportation Materials

- Largest Focus Areas
 - Casting (Al and Mg)
 - Wrought (mainly Al and Mg sheet formation and fabrication)
 - Fiber-reinforced polymeric-matrix composites processing
 - Low(er)-cost carbon fiber production

•Smaller Focus Areas

- Metal production (Al and Mg)
- Metal(Al)-matrix composites
- Ti metal production and fabrication
- Steel
- General manufacturing (joining and NDT)
- Glazing (glass)
- Crashworthiness
- Recycling

ALM Historical Timeline – Main Efforts



	ALM Historical Timeline - General Manufacturing						
	19	90	1995	2000	2005	2010	
	Metal Production						
Joining							
Nondestructive Testing							
	Crashworthiness	(FP1	1)				
	Recycling						

ALM Historical Timeline – Minor Materials						
	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	
Metal-Matrix Composites						
Titanium						
Steel		U 	LSAB 			
Glazing (Glass)						



FreedomCAR Automotive Lightweighting Materials Highlights

Transportation Materials

- Superplastic Forming of Aluminum (GM's Quick Plastic Forming)
- Programmamble Powdered Preform Process (P4) for Automotive Composite Structures
- Initial Automotive Composites Durability Guidelines
- Optimization of Al Castings
- Mg Casting for Structural and Powertrain Applications
- Initial (?) Identification of Emerging Lower-Cost Ti Production Processes



Summary and Thoughts

Materials Technologies

- •FreedomCAR supports research, development, demonstration and deployment (RDD&D) to increase the energy efficiency of vehicles and the use of alternative fuels, especially hydrogen.
- Lightweighting is addressed by FreedomCAR to help minimize overall costs of vehicles, especially those powered by hydrogen-fueled fuel-cells.
- Such applied R&D is best done when the potential implementer(s) is(are) involved from the start.
- The ultimate implementation decisions are more apt to be based on economic and political factors than technical factors.



Summary and Thoughts

Materials Technologies

- Has the \$200M + spent by FreedomCAR and PNGV on automotive lightweighting been worth it?
 - Commercial implementations and formal evaluations would indicate "yes."
 - Too early to tell quantitatively?
 - At least we know the technical and costs parameter space better
- Qualitatively, the greatest value may have been in fostering government-industry collaborations.
 - Industry brought their "A Teams"



Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy

Materials Technologies

http://www.eere.energy.gov



Bringing you a prosperous future where energy is clean, abundant, reliable, and affordable



Materials Technologies

Back-up Slides



U.S. Department of Energy Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy

EERE Organization







Dr. James Eberhardt



Materials Portfolio Funding

DOE Automotive Lightweighting Materials - Operation





Budget Distribution by Technical Area





Materials Technologies

Table 3. Material Use in PNGV Vehicles (lbs.)						
M aterial	1994 Base Vehicle	P 2 0 0 0	E S X 2			
P lastic s	223	270	485			
Aluminum	206	733	450			
Magnesium	6	86	122			
Titanium	0	11	40			
Ferrous	2168	490	528			
Rubber	138.5	123	148			
Glass	96.5	36	70			
Lexan	0	30	20			
Glass fiber	19	0	60			
Carbon Fiber	0	8	24			
Lithium	0	30	30			
Other	391	193	273			
Total Weight	3248	2010	2250			

Source: Ducker 1998

Design & Product Optimization for Cast for Cast Light Metals



Design & Product Optimization for Cast for Cast Light Metals

Material & Technology

Using New Technology to Further Reduce Component Weight



Original - Nodular Iron 16 lbs.



Conversion to Cast Aluminum 6.7 lbs.



Application of Simulation Tool 5.4 lbs..

58% Savings

20% Savings

Component Weight Reduction

USAMP Project

Design & Product Optimization for Cast for Cast Light Metals





Mg Cradle on 2006 Corvette Z06

Benefits:

- Mass Reduction: Mass savings of 5.6 kg (34%)
 - Mass Delta: 16.4 kg (Al) to 10.8 kg (Mg)
- Improved vehicle performance
- Avoidance of \$1000/car gas guzzler tax
- Very high visibility







Focal Project II - Glass Fiber





Compared to Steel Baseline

25% lighterGreater DurabilityEqual costEqual Safety1 part every 4 min achieved

50 lb lighter - 15 lb lighter tailgate No painting necessary Impact and Corrosion Resistant Tailgate Load Capacity 1000lb vs 600lb steel